ANNEXURE No. II.

Note No. 1. R. Dis. 66-25-26, by the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, dated 12th November 1925.

The changes in the forms of village accounts now proposed are not of any magnitude, as observed by Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar, and they are the natural sequel to the alterations made in the Taluk Registers, etc., by the Government Order of 27th June 1925, particularly the revision of the Irsal Patti. In the main, I agree with Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar and offer the following observations.

2. *Khetwar.*—It appears unnecessary to print the maximum rates for each class of land, etc., on each page. It will be sufficient if they are shown on the first page or fly leaf of the Register. The supply of printed forms, if not of bound books of different stock sizes, is very necessary considering the importance of the Register and the disadvantages of fly-leaf manuscript headings now in vogue.

3. *Supplemental Khetwar.*—The correct and up-to-date maintenance of this register is essential and there is reason to believe that it is not receiving sufficient attention at present. I shall issue a circular of instructions on this subject, a copy of which I shall submit in advance for the information of Government.

4. *Banjar Takhta.*—This may be designated the Banjar and Amarai Takhta. After Government unoccupied lands may be shown Goinal and Gavtana lands with full lists of fruit-yielding and other valuable trees.

5. *Pahani.*—The idea of the maintenance by Shanbhogue of an inspection note-book is certainly a good one. I should however like to see the Madras form before giving an opinion as to what form would be suitable for use in Mysore. In this connection I wish to suggest that it may be made compulsory for the Shanbhog to submit to the Taluk Office a full copy of the abstract of the area under all crops, and not only for select crops, as is the practice. Complete statistics of this type should always be available in Taluk Offices for reference.

6. *Khate.*—Some changes from the present form have not been explained by Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar, particularly the omission of particulars of increase and decrease under each item of demand. The Khate should certainly be written up in July and not be kept waiting for the approach of the Taluk Jamabandi. It would be advantageous to have the arrears outstanding shown salwar and bobwar in the Khate as proposed. I think temporary assessment might also be shown in the Khate separately. The maintenance of a Chillar Khate and Khirdi is very necessary. Non-Jamabandi items, such as, Takavi, Boundary mark charges, contributions for the restoration of tanks as well as items of demand on account of sales for arrears of land revenue, Co-operative Society decrees and other demands of a miscellaneous nature should find a place in them. There is at present no means of checking such payments made to patels.

7. *Rent Roll.*—The suggested alterations including the addition of an abstract to show classified arrears are acceptable.

8. *Takrar Takhta.*—The introduction of printed counterfoil forms in books will be a desirable change. Books of these forms should be supplied to Sheikdars, Patels, etc. The presentation of a nil statement when there are no cases, by shanbhog during Huzur Jamabandi should be insisted on. As regards the form proposed, I would suggest the addition of one more column for the abstract of the final order, which could be torn off and sent to the concerned Shanbhog for 'Dakhle'. He will have to paste it to the corresponding counterfoil if he originated the case.

9. *Jamabandi Goshwar and Register.*—I am agreeable to the form of the Register proposed. As regards the discontinuance of the maintenance of the Goshwar in duplicate, I am not in favour of it. It ought to be kept in duplicate.

10. *Khirdi.*—There is much to be said in the favour of the suggested change of making printed receipts compulsory, the Patta form being kept on as only a certificate of occupancy and normal demand. The form sent with the file relates to Takavi. I should very much like to see the actual form in use in Bombay for Land Revenue. Anyhow, the subject deserves careful consideration separately.